Case 2:21-cv-00410-KJM-JDP Docume	nt 7 Filed 07/26/21 Page 1 of 2
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
JERRY WEST, JR.,	Case No. 2:21-cv-00410-KJM-JDP (HC)
Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
v.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
BRIAN CATES,	TO STATE A CEAMY
Respondent.	
On April 26, 2021, I screened petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus, notified him	
that the petition failed to state a cognizable federal claim, and granted him sixty days to file an	
amended petition. ECF No. 6. To date, petitioner has not filed an amended petition.	
To manage its docket effectively, the court imposes deadlines and requires litigants to	
meet those deadlines. The court may dismiss a case for petitioner's failure to prosecute or failure	
to comply with its orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v.	
U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[T]he consensus among our sister circuits,	
with which we agree, is that courts may dismiss under Rule 41(b) sua sponte, at least under	
certain circumstances."). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but the court has a duty to	
administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. <i>See Pagtalunan v.</i>	
27 Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.	
	JERRY WEST, JR.,  Petitioner,  V.  BRIAN CATES,  Respondent.  On April 26, 2021, I screened petitioned that the petition failed to state a cognizable feed amended petition. ECF No. 6. To date, petition To manage its docket effectively, the commet those deadlines. The court may dismiss to comply with its orders or local rules. See Foundation of U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir.) with which we agree, is that courts may dismiss certain circumstances."). Involuntary dismissional administer justice expeditiously and avoid need.

## Case 2:21-cv-00410-KJM-JDP Document 7 Filed 07/26/21 Page 2 of 2

I will give petitioner an opportunity to explain why the court should not dismiss his case for failure to file an amended petition. Failure to respond to this order will constitute failure to comply with a court order and will result in dismissal of this case. Accordingly, petitioner must show cause within twenty-one days of the date of entry of this order why the court should not dismiss his case for failure to prosecute and for failure to state a cognizable federal claim for the reasons set forth in the April 26, 2021. Should petitioner wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall also file, within twenty-one days, an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>July 25, 2021</u>

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE